

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/781,793	FOERSTER, SETH A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathryn Odland	3743	

All Participants:

(1) Kathryn Odland.

(2) Donald Stout.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 15, 24, 32, 41 and 42

Prior art documents discussed:

Goble et al. (US 5,702,397)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was contacted to amend the claims to better define the invention over the prior art of record. Applicant's proposed amendments are attached. Applicant then filed the proposed amendments as official to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kathryn Odland
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)